



## **Research Article**

ISSN: 2581-3218 IJDR 2023; 8(1): 4-11 Received: 21-02-2023 Accepted: 11-04-2023 © 2023, All rights reserved www.dentistryscience.com doi: 10.31254/dentistry.2023.8102

# Comparative Evaluation of the Effects of Low-Level Laser Therapy and Ozone Application on Wound Healing After Gingivectomy and Gingivoplasty: A Randomized Control Clinical Trial

Pujitha Gadde 1\*, Joann Pauline George 2

 Postgraduate Student, Krishnadevaraya College of Dental Sciences, Bengaluru 562157, Karnataka, India
 Professor, Department of Periodontology, Krishnadevaraya College of Dental Sciences, Bengaluru 562157, Karnataka, India

## Abstract

**AIM:** The aim of this randomized controlled clinical trial was to clinically evaluate and compare the effect of ozonated water and Low level laser therapy (LLLT) on the early wound healing period of gingivectomy and gingivoplasty wounds by clinical analysis. **Materials & Methods:** Forty-five patients were randomly allocated into 3 groups, test group 1 (ozone therapy n=15), test group 2 (LLLT application n=14) and control group (no treatment n=15). Ozone irrigation was done on the surgical site with a concentration of 4ppm for 5-10 minutes, LLLT application was performed with diode LASER (810 nm) immediately after the surgical therapy and on day 3, day 7, day 21. Wound surface area, wound healing index, quality of life, plaque index, sulcus bleeding index were investigated. **Results:** At day 21 statistically significant smaller wounds were observed in both ozone and LLLT groups compared to control group. However, intergroup comparison between the ozone and LLLT group did not show statistically significant difference in wound surface area evaluated by staining technique and image J analysis. The wound healing index, VAS score OHIP -14 questionnaire, analgesics consumed, plaque index, sulcus bleeding index showed a statistically significant reduction from baseline to day 21in all groups. **Conclusion:** Ozone therapy is safe and effective in promoting wound healing during the 1st 3 weeks post-surgery. Ozone therapy and LLLT application enhanced wound healing after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty procedures, accompanied by better quality of life and reduced pain .

**Keywords:** Gingivectomy, Wound healing, Aqueous ozone, Low level laser therapy, Oral health impact profile, Early wound healing.

#### INTRODUCTION

Gingival enlargement is an overgrowth or increase in size of the gingiva <sup>[1]</sup>. The gingival enlargement or overgrowth is related to various etiologic factors such as dental plaque, mouth breathing, hormonal imbalance and medications <sup>[2]</sup>. Gingival enlargement may hamper patient's aesthetics if present in the anterior areas and may also lead to further plaque accumulation due to altered gingival contours, thus causing further destruction. Gingivectomy is the most commonly performed surgical procedure for the treatment of gingival enlargements <sup>[3]</sup>. which creates a favourable physiologic gingival topography that facilitates desirable plaque control <sup>[4]</sup>.

The wound site after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty procedures heals by secondary intention and takes about 32 days for complete epithelialization and about 49 days for connective tissue maturation <sup>[5]</sup>. Multiple local and systemic factors can interfere with one or more phases of wound healing leading to impaired tissue healing. Some of the local factors that can deleteriously effect wound healing are wound hypoxia, infection , foreign body and lack of vascularity <sup>[6]</sup>. Oxygenation plays a key role in wound healing as it facilitates cellular proliferations and prevents infections <sup>[7]</sup>. During the initial stages of wound healing there is profuse oxygen consumption owing to the high rate of metabolism. Optimal oxygen levels will benefit a healing wound. Therapeutic options to deliver oxygen to a healing wound include hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) and ozone application.

Ozone has various beneficial effects such as anti-microbial activity, increases  $pO_2$  in tissues, improves metabolism of inflamed tissues, facilitates proper oxygen metabolism, increased circulation and oxidation of bio molecules <sup>[8]</sup>.

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Joann Pauline George

Professor, Department of Periodontology, Krishnadevaraya College of Dental Sciences, Bengaluru 562157, Karnataka, India Email: drjoannpaulinegeorge@gmail.c om Photobiomodulation (PBM), which is also known as low level laser therapy (LLLT) has been well documented as an effective application for accelerating wound healing by enhancing collagen production, increasing levels of growth factors and extracellular matrix-remodeling proteins, and by stimulating synthesis of adenosine triphosphate <sup>[9]</sup> fibroblastic proliferation, and angiogenesis in a dose-dependent manner. LLLT also suppresses inflammation by reducing proinflammatory cytokines and increases local microcirculation by angiogenesis and vasodilation <sup>[10]</sup>. It enhances the differentiation of stem cells and progenitor cells which in turn lead to healing of tissues <sup>[11]</sup>. It increases patient postoperative comfort by stimulating the processes of regeneration and epithelialization. This also increases the motility of keratinocytes and enhances neovascularisation; all these factors collectively contribute to an improved wound healing.

Therefore the aim of the present study was to clinically evaluate and compare the effects of LLLT or ozone application on wound healing and patient morbidity of gingiva after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study population:** The research was conducted in the Department of Periodontics, Krishnadevaraya college of dental science, Bengaluru, where 45 subjects were recruited. The subjects were recruited based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

#### A. Inclusion criteria:

a. Systemically healthy.

b. Presence of gingival enlargement involving the mandibular or maxillary anterior region indicated for gingivectomy or gingivoplasty.

- c. No attachment and bone loss.
- d. Patients with satisfactory oral hygiene.

#### **B. Exclusion criteria:**

a. Patients who had a history of periodontal treatment in the last 6 months.

- b. Smokers and alcohol users.
- c. Pregnant women or lactating women.
- d. Medically compromised patients.

### Study design and clinical parameters

This was a prospective, single centre, single blinded (examiner), balanced randomized (1:1:1) controlled clinical trial with parallel group, randomly divided into control (no intervention), Test Group 1 (Ozone water application), and Test Group 2 (LLLT application).

#### Surgical Procedure:

Patients were anaesthetised with 2% Lignocaine Hydrochloride and 1:80000 adrenaline. The incision line was delineated with a pocket marker. For the gingivectomy and gingivoplasty procedure the scalloped external bevel incision was placed with a Kirkland knife and a #15 Bard-Parker blade. A sulcular incision was done and the inter dental tissue was released with the help of an Orban's interdental knife. The excised tissues were removed with the help of curettes, gingivoplasty was done with periodontal knives. Upon completion of the surgical procedure the

surgical sites were subjected to the randomly allocated treatment (ozone / LLLT application).

#### **OZONE APLICATION:**

After hemostasis ozonated water was applied to the test group 1 (Fig no 1). Ozone generator <sup>5</sup> was used for preparing the ozonated irrigating solution .The ozonated water was prepared according to manufacturer instructions .The tube of the machine was connected to a 1000 ml bottle containing 1000 cc of distilled water for 15 minutes with a power of 30 Watts. The concentration of ozone in the solution was 4 ppm. The analysis of the ozonated water was done at a water testing laboratory. The surgical site was irrigated with a 10 ml syringe with ozonated water for 5-10 minutes. To facilitate ozone irrigation a 20 gauge blunt needle was used  $^{[12]}$ .

## LOW LEVEL LASER THERAPY APPLICATION:

In test group 2 LLLT was applied in surgical site (Fig No 1). The laser used in this study was a diode laser with a wavelength of 810 nm, <sup>[13]</sup> spot size of 400 $\mu$ m, output power of 300mW, power density of 4.5J/cm2 and was irradiated for 15 seconds (3 seconds /5 sites) in perpendicular direction, non- contact and continuous wave mode. Application was performed from 1mm (paper point guidance) above the wound area for each tooth at 5 different points ( 4 corner points, 1 center point) <sup>[14]</sup>. LLLT and ozone application was initiated immediately in their respective groups after gingivectomy / gingivoplasty. The same protocol was repeated on 3rd and 7th day. In the control group no additional procedure such as irrigation was carried out after surgery.(Fig No. 3)

## OUTCOMES:

Clinical parameters were assessed at baseline, 3rd, 7th and 21st day after surgical procedure. The primary outcome that was assessed was wound surface area (Fig no 2,3,4) by a disclosing solution and evaluated by Image J analysis. The secondary objectives that were assessed are Wound healing index, Oral health impact profile -14 <sup>[15]</sup>, Visual analog scale for pain / discomfort <sup>[16]</sup>, Plaque Index (Sillness T and Loe H, 1964), Sulcus bleeding index (Mombelli A) and Number of tablets consumed.

#### Statistical analysis:

Statistical Package for Social Sciences [SPSS] for Windows Version 22.0 Released 2013. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp., will be used to perform statistical analyses.

Friedman's test followed by Wilcoxon Sign, Kruskal Wallis test, Mann Whitney Post hoc test was used to compare the mean VAS scores for pain, Wound Healing Index scores, OHIP 14 scores and analgesics consumed between 3 groups at different time intervals. Wilcoxon signed Rank Post hoc test was used to compare the mean VAS scores for pain, Wound Healing Index scores, OHIP 14 scores and analgesics consumed between different time intervals in each study group. The level of significance [P-Value] was set at P<0.05.

## RESULTS

The present study was carried out to analyse and compare the effectiveness of low level laser therapy and ozone application on wound healing after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty recruited from June 2021 to December 2022, and were followed up till February 2023. In the current study 45 patients were enrolled and 15 patients were randomly assigned to control group, 15 patients to test group 1 (ozone) and 15 patients to test group 2 (LLLT). All the patients who were enrolled for the study completed the study tenure, returned for scheduled maintenance visits and were analysed for outcomes. No patients were lost to follow up in either group.

The baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients is reported in Table No 1 and 2.

#### **Outcomes and estimation**

While there was no statistically significant difference between the wound surface area of ozone applied and control sites immediately after gingivectomy, there is a significant difference between control and LLLT sites, and ozone and LLLT sites. When control and test groups were analysed, at all-time intervals on 3<sup>rd</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> day the ozone group and LLLT showed significantly higher reduction of WSA compared to control group. Between the 2 test groups the LLLT group showed a significantly a higher WSA reduction on day 3 and day 21 (Table no 3, 4). The wound healing index score was significantly higher in the control group than ozone and LLLT group on day 3. Between the test groups ozone group showed a significantly higher score on day 3, however on day 7 and day 21 all the groups showed similar wound healing index scores (Table no 5). On day 3 the control group significantly showed higher scores than test groups, but VAS score is similar between test groups. The same trend was noted on day 7 and day 21. It was noted that on day 3 both the test group patients consumed significantly more tablets than the control group. However between the test groups ozone showed significantly lesser TC than LLLT group. By the 7th day in ozone group patient did not consume any tablets compared to control and LLLT group. On the 21st day patients in all groups did not consume any tablets. On day 3, 7, 21 no significant difference was seen between all groups for PI. On day 3, 7, 21 no significant difference was seen between all groups for SBI.

## DISSCUSSION

Gingivectomy is a surgical protocol to excise diseased gingiva or hyperplasia of the gingiva to establish normal gingival anatomy and improve aesthetics and plaque control<sup>[2]</sup>. Re-epithelialization is a critical phase that includes interactions between the extracellular matrix and keratinocytes that migrate, proliferate, and differentiate, thereby restoring gingival tissue function and structure  $\ensuremath{^{[17]}}$  . In addition to the complex wound healing process, the bleeding and pain that are frequently seen after gingivectomy is also an issue. Attempts to overcome these problems such as controlling the cellular activity by using growth factors, [18] enamel matrix proteins, [19] periodontal ligament cells and LASER <sup>[20]</sup> applications have reported favourable outcomes. Wound biomodification has attempted to change the oxygen concentration in a healing wound site as oxygen plays an important role in wound healing and is vital for energy production, protein synthesis, cellular proliferation, angiogenesis and restoration of tissue functions. A wound with a hypoxic environment is associated with compromised healing, thus increasing the risk of infection <sup>[21]</sup>.

Another popular wound biomodification is LLLT which stimulates mitochondrial activity, inflammation and angiogenesis, increases oxygen availability and helps heal both hard and soft tissues <sup>[22]</sup>. It has been suggested that LLLT promotes dissociation of oxygen from oxyhemoglobin in the tissue capillary beds, which makes more oxygen available for oxidative metabolism and ATP production. LLLT which is based on biostimulation / biomodulation may induce cellular processes that influence wound healing. Various studies have concluded that LLLT improves wound healing after periodontal surgical procedures. Adjunctive therapies such ozone therapy have also shown to improve wound healing. Ozone therapy that has been practiced since 1817, is popular due to its antimicrobial, tissue friendly and wound healing properties. The positive impact of ozone therapy as an adjunct to nonsurgical periodontal therapy has been clinically documented. Ozone therapy enhances vascularity, improves oxygen perfusion thereby accelerating metabolism, improves antioxidant mechanisms, stimulates immune system and increases secretion of growth factors [23]. The scientific literature contains more information on the antimicrobial effect of ozone, with very few articles on wound healing. However very few studies have analysed and compared the role of LLLT and ozone therapy in periodontal surgical procedures to improve early wound healing. Therefore in the current trial the adjunctive effect of LLLT and ozone therapy on early wound healing was analysed and compared on the 3rd, 7th and 21st day post gingivectomy.

Many techniques, including random visual inspection, <sup>[24]</sup> graded scale, <sup>[25]</sup> assessment of cytokines such as TGF-B1, PDGF-BB, and IL-8, <sup>[26]</sup> topical application of 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, <sup>[27]</sup> biometric evaluation, <sup>[25]</sup> histologic analysis by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Masson's trichrome stain (MT)<sup>[28]</sup> and staining with plaque disclosing solutions such as methylene blue, <sup>[29]</sup> erythrosine <sup>[30]</sup> and 2 - tone dye (mira 2- tone, <sup>[14]</sup> Alpha plac <sup>[31]</sup>) are used to analyse wound healing. A popular method to analyse epithelium is applying plaque-disclosing solution over the surgical area using a cotton pellet and leaving it for 2 min. Later, the patient is asked to rinse with water. The areas where epithelium is absent, abraded or lacking sufficient keratinization stained with the dye and could be distinguished from normal gingiva. Staining of the wound surface is seen with methylene blue, erythrosine in 1:50 dilution for 30 seconds and 2 tone dye (Mira 2- tone, Alpha plac). Although histologic analysis is the gold standard, it is not preferred because it requires a second surgery and has ethical implications. The darkly stained surface were considered as sites still undergoing wound healing with the lack of enough layers of epithelium [14].

The LLLT protocol employed in the study was a diode laser with wavelength of 810 nm, spot size of 400µm, output power of 300mW, power density of 4.5J/cm2 and was irradiated for 15 seconds which is similar to Uslu M <sup>[32]</sup> study which used LLLT as an adjunctive treatment post gingivectomy to improve wound healing. The current trial used ozonated water at 4ppm concentration. Bocci V <sup>[33]</sup> generated ozonated water by using a glass cylinder which was about ¾th filled with deionized and bidistilled water through which the gas mixture (oxygen-ozone) was bubbled continuously for at least 5 min to achieve saturation. The ozonated water was irrigated using a sterile 5ml syringe with a blunt 21 gauge needle. The same protocol is followed in the current study.

In the present study wound surface re-epithelialization was measured by staining with plaque disclosing agent and Image J analysis. This protocol has been followed by various authors [34, 35, 36] and is an indirect measure of re-epithelialization.Wound surface assessment showed significant reduction in wound surface area in both test groups when compared to control, but when both the test groups were compared except for 7th day analysis it was similar indicating similar surface epithelialization at day 3 and day 21. The percentage reduction of wound surface area is higher in LLLT group (99.85%) when compared to ozone group (99.48%) and control group (96.12%) on 21st day). When Isler S C et al [27] compared the effect of LLLT and ozone therapy on reepithelialization of palatal donor site, he noted significantly smaller wounds in ozone group compared with control group which is in accordance with the outcomes of the current trial. He did not observe significant difference between the LLLT and ozone groups which is similar to our study, he also did not observe significant difference between the LLLT and control group, which is contradictory to our study. The difference in the outcomes noted may be due to different methods used for analysing epithelialization (Isler used H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> method). Uslu M et al [32] performed ozone therapy and LLLT application on gingivectomy wounds, he observed no difference between the groups on 3rd and 7th day contrary to our observation wherein significantly higher reduction was seen in both the test groups as opposed to control group. They also observed complete epithelialization among few patients in all the groups contradictory to our study. This difference may be attributed to the different method of wound surface analysis and also due to the subjective nature of analysis. Ozcelik O et al [14] assessed the effect of LLLT on healing of gingiva after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty. He reported that while there was no difference between the stained surface area of the LLLT applied and control sites immediately after gingivectomy, but on the postsurgical 3rd, 7th, and 15th day LLLT applied

sites showed significantly lower stained areas which is similar to our study. Similar outcomes were also noted by Amorim J C et al [25] with adjunctive use of LLLT. When ozonated oil (Patel PV et al) [37] and ozonised water (Filippi et al) [38] were investigated on early wound healing it was noted that significant improvement in epithelial healing was seen on 7th and 21st, which reiterates our finding that ozone therapy accelerates wound re-epithelialization . Wound healing index by Landry et al grades the wound on a scale of 1–5. WHI scores on day 0, 7 and 21 were same in all the groups. However on day 3 control group showed significantly better scores than the test groups. Between the test groups ozone showed a better score than LLLT on day 3. This is in contrast to Uslu M et al [32] observation where in no difference was seen. However, when Lingamaneni S et al [39] investigated the effects of LLLT on gingival healing after gingivectomy and no statistically significant difference in healing scores at day 0, 3 and 7 postoperative visit was reported which is similar to the outcomes of this trial, however on day 14 a significantly better healing was observed in the LLLT groups. By the 7th and 21st day post-surgery all the 3 groups had no pain. However on 3rd day all patients reported pain while patients in ozone and LLLT group reported lesser frequency of pain. Patients in both the test groups reported lesser frequency of discomfort to eat as compared to control group. Regarding self consciousness most patients in all groups were not self conscious. This results corresponds with Tasdemir Z et al [8] who reported significant differences in quality of life of test and control groups on day 6 postoperatively but this difference disappeared by day 13. Similarly Kazancioglu et al [41] demonstrated the positive effects of ozone therapy on patients quality of life after 3rd molar extractions. Uslu M et al <sup>[32]</sup> reported that the OHIP-14 score of the control group on the 7th day was higher than the LLLT group but no difference was observed between the groups on day 0 and day 14. At baseline the VAS score of present study is not statistically different between control, test group 1 and test group 2. On day 3 there is statistically significant higher VAS score in the control group as compared to the test groups but no statistically significant difference between ozone and LLLT group. A similar trend was seen on day 7 and day 21. Uslu M et al [32] showed a similar values on day 3 and day 7 where VAS of control group was higher than LLLT and ozone group. The VAS level of the ozone group was higher than LLLT group on 3rd and 7th day, in contrast to the findings in our study, intergroup difference between ozone and LLLT group on day 3 and 7 did not show any statistically significant difference between them. Both studies showed similar results on day 21 with no significant difference. Tasdemir Z et al [40] showed significantly higher VAS values in the control group than the test group during the 1st week post surgery which is similar to current study. Kazancioglu HO et al [41] reported significantly lower pain in ozonated groups than the control group after extraction of 3rd molar, which is similar to our study. Isler S C et al [27] showed a similar results, the mean VAS scores are higher in control group compared to ozone and LLLT group at all times. However, the comparison of VAS scores should be interpreted with caution as most of the studies analysed other periodontal surgeries such as FGG, palatal donor site wound, 3rd molar extraction etc. On day 3 statistically higher number of analgesics were consumed by the test groups, on day 7 and 21 there was no difference between all the 3 groups. The outcomes of the current trial are in contrast to that of Uslu  $\it{et al}$  [32] and Tasdemir Z  $\it{et}$ al [40] where more analgesics were consumed in the control group. However Isler et al [27] reported similar outcomes wherein the number of analgesics consumed in the first post operative week did not vary significantly between the groups. In the current study there is a reduction of plaque index and SBI from day 0 to day 21 which is

statistically not significant between the 3 groups. Kshitish D *et al* <sup>[42]</sup> and Isler *et al* <sup>[27]</sup> reported similar outcomes where no significant differences was seen between the control, ozone and LLLT group on 14th and 30th day. However, Rajesh *et al* 36 and Sivaraman T *et al* <sup>[42]</sup> reported statistically significant reduction in plaque index between ozonated oil and control group.

## LIMITATIONS

The small sample size of the study may have a considerable bearing on the results therefore it should be interpreted with due diligence. The average age of the study population was very young (24 years-ozone group, 24 years- LLLT group, 25 years -control group) and did not have any systemic complications, healing after gingivectomy is generally very simple, quick and uncomplicated process for which the need for adjunctive treatment seems unnecessary. The image analysis protocol employed for wound surface area evaluation is totally dependent on the operator and may be subjected to errors; only histologic or immunohistologic studies will provide clear evidence on the effect of ozone or LLLT on wound healing at a cellular level.

## **Future direction**

The smaller sample size may distort the outcome of the studies hence future studies with increased sample size may be beneficial. There is a need for clinical trials assessing wound healing in older individuals as increasing age is a deterrent for healing. Wound healing assessment of ozone therapy / LLLT in systemically compromised patients specially diabetics will be beneficial. Complex periodontal surgeries such as regenerative periodontal procedures and perioplastic surgeries which exhibit complicated and longer healing periods may benefit additionally from the use of adjunctive ozone therapy or LLLT and should be critically evaluated. In permissible situations histological analysis with throw better light on the healing potential of ozone therapy and LLLT.



Figure 1: Ozone water irrigation and LLLT application



Figure 2: In ozone group Day 0, 3, 7, 21 evaluation of wound surface area



Figure 3: In LLLT group Day 0, 3,7,21 and evaluation of wound surface area



Figure 4: Day 0, 3,7, 21 and evaluation of wound surface area in control group

		Control group(N=15) (no intervention)	Test group 1(N=15) (Ozone )	Test group 2(N=15) (LLLT)
Age (years)		25.5 years	24.0	24.8
Gender (female)		8 (53.3%)	6(38.0%)	5 (33.3%)
Maxillary anterior		8 ( 53.3 %)	9(60%)	5 (33.3%)
Site	Mandibular anterior	7(46.7%)	6(38%)	10(66.7)

## Table 1: Baseline Demographic Data

Table 2: Clinical characteristics on the baseline day

Baseline characteristics Day 0	WSA (area =mm2)	WHI	OHIP-14	VAS	тс	Ы	SBI
CONTROL	358283+18772	0+0	2 66+0 57	6.50±1.	2.60±0.	1.00±0.	2.00±0.
CONTROL	550205±10772	010	2.00±0.57	80	52	00	00
	248412+12001	0+0	1 26+1 55	6.23±1.	3.00±0.	1.38±0.	1.27±0.
TEST GROOP I	348413112001	010	1.2011.35	24	94	20	30
TEST GROUP 2	228227+0000	0+0	1 11+1 16	6.780±1	3.00±0.	1.60±0.	1.27±0.
TEST GROOP 2	32833719909	0±0	1.4111.10	.31	00	22	38

Table 3: Inter group comparison of WSA on day 0, day 3, day 7, day 21. Student 't' test \*Statistically significant

	WSA0		WSA3							
	Mean	SD	т	P Value	Mean	SD	т	P Value		
Control	358283	18772	1.654	0.120	47656	6022	2.072	0.000*		
Ozone	348413	12001	1.054	0.120	40056	3201	3.072	0.008*		
Control	358283	18772	9 904	0.000*	47656	6022	11 015	0.000*		
шт	328337	9909	8.804	0.000*	27236	2335	11.815	0.000		
Ozone	348413	12001	0.627	0.000*	40056	3201	12.052	0.000*		
шт	328337	9909	9.037	0.000*	27236	2335	13.952			
	WSA7				WSA21					
	Mean	SD	т	P Value	Mean	SD	т	P Value		
Control	7462	1121	7.246	0.000*	4727	562	11 160	0.000*		
Ozone	4677	901	7.240	0.000	2480	501	11.108	0.000*		
Control	7462	1121	0.070	0.000*	4727	562	42 547	0.000*		
шт	3725	783	9.679	0.000*	2598	299	12.517	0.000*		
Ozone	4677	901	2 224	0.022*	2480	501	0.754	0.462		
ШТ	3725	783	2.334	0.032	2598	299	0.754	0.403		

Table 4: Inter group comparison difference of WSA in control group, test group 1 and test group 2. Student 't' test

		Control			Ozone				TValue		D.Volue	
Groups	N	Average	SD		Average	SD			I value		P value	
WSA 0 to 3	15	76.25%	21.56%		90.81%		8.95%		2.333		0.0331*	
WSA 0 to 7	15	91.55%	9.66%		97.59%		1.32%		2.318		0.0339*	
WSA 0 to 21	15	96.12%	4.63%		99.48%	1.04%			2.654		0.0189*	
	Control				LLT						Division	
Groups	N	Average	SD		Average	Average			i value		r value	
WSA 0 to 3	15	76.25%	21.56%		94.84%		1.11%		3.222		0.0061*	
WSA 0 to 7	15	91.55%	9.66%		99.50%		0.20%		3.081		0.0081*	
WSA 0 to 21	15	96.12%	4.63%		99.85%	35% 0.05%			3.016		0.0093*	
		Ozone		L	LLT				TValue		D.V.alua	
Groups	N	Average	SD	A	Average	9	SD		i value		P value	
WSA 0 to 3	15	90.81%	8.95%	ç	94.84%	1	1.11%		L.674	C	).1163	
WSA 0 to 7	15	97.59%	1.32%	1.32% 9		0	0.20%		5.333	0.0001*		
WSA 0 to 21	15	99.48%	1.04%	ç	99.85%	35% 0		1	1.312		0.2105	

 Table 5: Inter group comparison of wound healing index at day 0, day 3 day 7 day 21 between control, test group 1 and test group 2 Student 't' test \* Statistically significant

	wнo					WH3						
	Mean	SD	т	P Value		Mean	s	D	т	P Value		
Control	0	0	0.000*	1 000		2.70	WH3         SD         T           Mean         SD         T           2.70         0.23         2.45           2.50         0.20         2.45           2.70         0.23         7.34           2.10         0.20         2.20           2.50         0.20         2.29           2.10         0.20         5.29           WH21         Mean         SD         T           4.00         0.00         0.400         0.00           4.00         0.00         0.00         0.00           4.00         0.00         0.00         0.00		2.455	0.020*		
Ozone	0	0	0.000*	1.000		2.50	0	.20	2.455	0.028*		
Control	0	0	0.000* 1.000		2.70	0	.23	7.246	0.000*			
LLT	0	0	0.000*	1.000		2.10	0	.20	7.346	0.000*		
Ozone	0	0	0.000*	1 000		2.50	0	.20	5 202	0.000*		
LLT	0	0	0.000*	1.000	.000	2.10	0	.20	5.292	0.000		
	WH7					WH21						
	Mean	SD	Т	P Value	9	Mean		SD	Т	P Value		
Control	3.00	0.00	0.000	1.00	,	4.00		0.00	0.000	1 000		
Ozone	3.00	0.00	0.000	P value           )*         1.000           )*         1.000           )*         1.000           )*         1.000           )*         1.000           000         1.000           000         1.000           000         1.000		4.00 0.0		0.00	0.000	1.000		
Control	3.00	0.00	0.000	1.00	,	4.00		0.00	0.000	1 000		
LLT	3.00	0.00	0.000	1.000		4.00		0.00	0.000	1.000		
Ozone	3.00	0.00	0.000	1.00	2.70 2.50 2.70 2.10 2.50 2.10 2.10 WH21 WH21 Mean 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	4.00	0.00		0.000	1.000		
LLT	3.00	0.00	0.000	1.000		4.00 0.00		0.000	1.000			

## CONCLUSION

Within the limits of the present study, it can't be concluded that ozone therapy enhanced wound healing after gingivectomy similar to LLLT. The wound surface area reduction can be interpreted as better wound healing which also correlates with higher WHI, better quality of life, lesser VAS score in the ozone treated group. Ozonated water application showed no adverse effects and was well tolerated by the patients. These encouraging results prompt the use of ozonated water as an adjunct to surgical periodontal treatment. Standardization of ozone therapy protocol is needed and hence more randomized control trials should be planned.

### **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

#### None declared.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Periodontology AA of. Glossary of periodontal terms. American Academy of Periodontology, 1992.
- 2. Mariotti A. Dental plaque-induced gingival diseases. Annals of periodontology. 1999; 4(1):7-17.
- 3. Takei HH, Carranza FA, Shin K. Gingival surgical techniques. Carranzas Clin Periodontol 12th Ed St Louis Elsevier. 2015; 576-81.
- Glickman I. The results obtained with an unembellished gingivectomy technic in a clinical study in humans. J Periodontol. 1956;27(4):247-55.
- 5. Stanton G, Levy M, Stahl SS. Collagen restoration in healing human gingiva. Journal of Dental Research. 1969; 48(1):27-31.
- Guo SA, DiPietro LA. Factors affecting wound healing. Journal of dental research. 2010; 89(3):219-29.

## **Financial Support**

- 7. Bishop A. Role of oxygen in wound healing. Journal of wound care. 2008; 17(9):39379-402.
- 8. Tasdemir Z, Oskaybas MN, Alkan AB, Cakmak O. The effects of ozone therapy on periodontal therapy: A randomized placebocontrolled clinical trial. Oral diseases. 2019; 25(4):1195-202.
- Silveira PC, Streck EL, Pinho RA. Evaluation of mitochondrial respiratory chain activity in wound healing by low-level laser therapy. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology. 2007; 86(3):279-82.
- Schaffer M, Bonel H, Sroka R, Schaffer PM, Busch M, Reiser M, Dühmke E. Effects of 780 nm diode laser irradiation on blood microcirculation: preliminary findings on time-dependent T1weighted contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology. 2000; 54(1):55-60.
- Dompe C, Moncrieff L, Matys J, Grzech-Leśniak K, Kocherova I, Bryja A, Bruska M, Dominiak M, Mozdziak P, Skiba TH, Shibli JA. Photobiomodulation—underlying mechanism and clinical applications. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020; 9(6):1724.
- Sharma V, Kalsi DS, Goyal A, Chaudhry S, Oberoi N, Baweja PS. Effect of low-level laser therapy on wound healing after gingivectomy. Indian Journal of Dental Sciences. 2021; 13(2):128
- Simpson DM, Ross RU. The neutrophilic leukocyte in wound repair: a study with antineutrophil serum. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1972; 51(8):2009-23.
- Ozcelik O, Cenk Haytac M, Kunin A, Seydaoglu G. Improved wound healing by low-level laser irradiation after gingivectomy operations: a controlled clinical pilot study. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2008; 35(3):250-4.
- Slade GD. Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 1997; 25(4):284-90.
- 16. Crichton N. Visual analogue scale (VAS). J Clin Nurs. 2001; 10(5):706-6.
- 17. Haekkinen La, Uitto Vj, Larjava H. Cell biology of gingival wound healing. Periodontology 2000. 2000; 24(1):127-52.
- Anitua E, Troya M, Orive G. Plasma rich in growth factors promote gingival tissue regeneration by stimulating fibroblast proliferation and migration and by blocking transforming growth factor-β1induced myodifferentiation. Journal of periodontology. 2012; 83(8):1028-37.
- Zeldich E, Koren R, Nemcovsky C, Weinreb M. Enamel matrix derivative stimulates human gingival fibroblast proliferation via ERK. Journal of dental research. 2007; 86(1):41-6.
- Kreisler M, Christoffers AB, Willershausen B, d'Hoedt B. Effect of low-level GaAlAs laser irradiation on the proliferation rate of human periodontal ligament fibroblasts: an in vitro study. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2003; 30(4):353-8.
- 21. Castilla DM, Liu ZJ, Velazquez OC. Oxygen: implications for wound healing. Advances in wound care. 2012; 1(6):225-30.
- 22. Migliorati C, Hewson I, Lalla RV, Antunes HS, Estilo CL, Hodgson B, Lopes NN, Schubert MM, Bowen J, Elad S, Mucositis Study Group of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer/International Society of Oral Oncology (MASCC/ISOO). Systematic review of laser and other light therapy for the management of oral mucositis in cancer patients. Supportive Care in Cancer. 2013; 21:333-41.
- Sagai M, Bocci V. Mechanisms of action involved in ozone therapy: is healing induced via a mild oxidative stress?. Medical gas research. 2011; 1(1):1-8.
- Hammadi AA, Unit L. Clinical assessment of low level laser (gaalas) on gingivectomy wound healing. Medical Journal of Babylon. 2013; 10(2):349-53.
- Faria Amorim JC, Sousa GR, Silveira LD, Prates RA, Pinotti M, Ribeiro MS. Clinical study of the gingiva healing after gingivectomy and lowlevel laser therapy. Photomedicine and Laser Therapy. 2006; 24(5):588-94.

- 26. Keskiner I, Lutfioğlu M, Aydogdu A, Saygun NI, Serdar MA. Effect of photobiomodulation on transforming growth factor-β1, plateletderived growth factor-BB, and interleukin-8 release in palatal wounds after free gingival graft harvesting: a randomized clinical study. Photomedicine and laser surgery. 2016; 34(6):263-71.
- 27. Isler SC, Uraz A, Guler B, Ozdemir Y, Cula S, Cetiner D. Effects of laser photobiomodulation and ozone therapy on palatal epithelial wound healing and patient morbidity. Photomedicine and laser surgery. 2018; 36(11):571-80.
- Firat ET, Da A, Günay A, Kanay BE, Ketani Ş, Ketani MA. Histological examination of the effects of low-level laser therapy on healing of gingiva after gingivectomy in rats. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment. 2013; 27(5):4137-40.
- 29. Kohale BR, Agrawal AA, Raut CP. Effect of low-level laser therapy on wound healing and patients' response after scalpel gingivectomy: A randomized clinical split-mouth study. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2018; 22(5):41-49.
- Chawla K, Lamba AK, Tandon S, Faraz F, Gaba V. Effect of low-level laser therapy on wound healing after depigmentation procedure: A clinical study. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2016; 20(2):184.
- Namburi RK, Gopalkrishna P, Chakravarthy KP, Rajendran P, Singh D. Management of excessive gingival display with mucosal coronally positioned flap. Stomatologija. 2022; 24(2):43-8.
- Uslu MÖ, Akgül S. Evaluation of the effects of photobiomodulation therapy and ozone applications after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty on postoperative pain and patients' oral healthrelated quality of life. Lasers in Medical Science. 2020; 35(7):1637-47.
- 33. Bocci V. Preparation of ozonated water and oil for the topical therapy. Ozone as a drinking water disinfectant. Ozone disinfection to prevent nosocomial infections. Ozone: A New Medical Drug. 2005:12-8.
- Caffesse RG, Kon S, Castelli WA, Nasjleti CE. Revascularization following the lateral sliding flap procedure. Journal of Periodontology. 1984; 55(6):352-358.
- Yang J, Liu X, Wang W, Chen Y, Liu J, Zhang Z, Wu C, Jiang X, Liang Y, Zhang J. Bioelectric fields coordinate wound contraction and reepithelialization process to accelerate wound healing via promoting myofibroblast transformation. Bioelectrochemistry. 2022; 148:108247.
- Rajesh KS, Pooja M, Shashikanth Hedge D, Arun Kumar MS. Effectiveness of Chlorhexidine Gel And Ozonated Oil In Treatment of Plaque Induced Gingivitis–A Randomized Controlled Clinical Study.
- Patel PV, Kumar V, Kumar S, Gd V, Patel A. Therapeutic effect of topical ozonated oil on the epithelial healing of palatal wound sites: a planimetrical and cytological study. Journal of investigative and clinical dentistry. 2011; 2(4):248-58.
- 38. Filippi A. The influence of ozonised water on the epithelial wound healing process in the oral cavity. Clinic of Oral Surgery, Radiology and Oral Medicine, University of Basel, Switzerldand. 2001.
- Lingamaneni S, Mandadi LR, Pathakota KR. Assessment of healing following low-level laser irradiation after gingivectomy operations using a novel soft tissue healing index: A randomized, double-blind, split-mouth clinical pilot study. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2019; 23(1):53.
- Taşdemir Z, Alkan BA, Albayrak H. Effects of Ozone Therapy on the Early Healing Period of Deepithelialized Gingival Grafts: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. J Periodontol. 2016; 87(6):663-71. doi: 10.1902/jop.2016.150217. Epub 2016 Jan 16. PMID: 26777769.
- 41. Kazancioglu HO, Ezirganli S, Demirtas N. Comparison of the influence of ozone and laser therapies on pain, swelling, and trismus following impacted third-molar surgery. Lasers in medical science. 2014; 29:1313-9.
- 42. Kshitish D, Laxman VK. The use of ozonated water and 0.2% chlorhexidine in the treatment of periodontitis patients: A clinical

and microbiologic study. Indian Journal of Dental Research. 2010; 21(3).

 Hrishi TS, Kundapur PP, Bhat GS, Vishwanath S, Kamath S. Efficacy of Subgingival Ozone Irrigation for Management of Chronic Periodontitis–A Clinical, Microbiological and Biochemical study. J Cont Med A Dent [Internet]. 2020; 8(1):62-9.

#### HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE-

Gadde P, George JP. Comparative Evaluation of the Effects of Low-Level Laser Therapy and Ozone Application on Wound Healing After Gingivectomy and Gingivoplasty: A Randomized Control Clinical Trial. Int J Dent Res 2023; 8(1):4-11. doi: 10.31254/dentistry.2023.8102

#### Creative Commons (CC) License-

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).